

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/767,722 | GORING ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | ANDY HO | 2194 |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Andy Ho. (3) _____.
 (2) Jonathan Pollack (49,065). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 November 2008
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
5, 7, 10, 20, 22
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner informed the applicant that the application was being searched before allowance. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct objections of claims 5, 7, 10, 20 and 22. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment once the application was in condition for allowance

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andy Ho/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

